

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0561-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person is required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**TRANSMITTAL
FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

3

Application Number 10/731,209

Filing Date 12/09/2003

First Named Inventor Fred Stanley

Art Unit 3811

Examiner Name Gary C. Hoge

Attorney Docket Number F8TAN-01

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**JUN 14 2005****ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)**

- ☐ Fee Transmittal Form
☐ Fee Attached
☒ Amendment/Reply
☐ After Final
☐ Affidavits/declaration(s)
☐ Extension of Time Request
☐ Express Abandonment Request
☐ Information Disclosure Statement


☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)
☐ Reply to Missing Parts/
Incomplete Application
☐ Reply to Missing Parts
under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.63

- ☐ Drawing(s)
☐ Licensing-related Papers
☐ Petition
☐ Petition to Convert to a
Provisional Application
☐ Power of Attorney, Revocation
Change of Correspondence Address
☐ Terminal Disclaimer
☐ Request for Refund
☐ CD, Number of CD(s) _____
☐ Landscape Table on CD

- ☐ After Allowance Communication to TC
☐ Appeal Communication to Board
of Appeals and Interferences
☐ Appeal Communication to TC
(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
☐ Proprietary Information
☐ Status Letter
☐ Other Enclosure(s) (please identify
below):

Remarks


SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

| | | | |
|--------------|---|----------|--------|
| Firm Name | Law Office of David McEwing PC | | |
| Signature |  | | |
| Printed name | David McEwing | | |
| Date | June 14, 2005 | Reg. No. | 37,028 |

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature



Typed or printed name

David McEwing

Date June 14, 2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
JUN 14 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
PATENT EXAMINING OPERATION

| | | |
|-------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Applicant: | § | |
| Fred Stanley | § | Group Art Unit: 3611 |
| | § | |
| Serial No. 10/731,209 | § | Examiner: Gary C. Hoge |
| | § | |
| Filed: December 9, 2003 | § | Atty. Dkt. No.: FSTAN-01 |
| | § | |
| Confirmation No. 4619 | § | |

For: Motor Vehicle Data Collection and Analysis

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Alexandria, Virginia

SIR:

This paper is presented as the Applicant's response to the Office Action mailed June 6, 2005. The Examiner has asserted that the application contains directed to multiple patentably distinct species of the claimed invention. The Examiner has distinguished the multiple species by correlating each species to a counterpart single drawing contained the specification.

The Applicant has been directed to elect a single species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims will be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The Applicant is also required to identify all claims readable on the elected species.

The Applicant elects Species VI, represented by Fig. 7 of the original application. The Summary of Drawings section of the specification states Fig. 7 to be a schematic